



Infowar School

EVOLUTION COURSE

LEVEL 1

FREE

Shaun Almeida

**2021
VERSION 1.0**

Evolution Course Level 1

By Shaun Almeida

This is a free publication from the Infowar School created to raise awareness about the New World Order. Please share this book with everyone.

[Click here to check the Infowar School Library for the latest version of this course PDF.](#)



Published online by Infowarschool.com
2021
Version 1.0

Table of Contents

Table of Contents.....3
Evolution Course Level 1.....4
Former Secret Society Member Tells Us Evolution Is Part of the N.W.O. Plan.....4
Problem 1. They Say the Universe Happened From Nothing.....5
 Why Does The Theory Say That The Universe Started As A Dot?.....6
Problem 2: Things Are Not Evenly Distributed.....6
Problem 3. How They Think Matter Formed.....7
Problem 4. What They Tell Us about Matter Forming Into Atoms.....8
Problem 5. How they say the first elements of life formed.....8
Problem 6: Their Theory about the Evolution of Living Organisms Dinosaur and Human
Footprints Together.....11
Dinosaur Sculptures from Ancient People before Fossils Discovered.....14
Chalk Layer Proves Noah's Flood.....15

Evolution Course Level 1

The theory of evolution is still only a theory so it's not an alternative to the Bible especially since the Bible has been proven true. No one has to prove the theory of evolution is false since it's only a theory. In this evolution course level 1 you will see just the very basic reasons that the theory of evolution will never be proven true. There are many other reasons as well that are not shown here that will be covered in the next update with the level 2 evolution course but you can still see those other topics in the documentaries shown at [The Range Video Gallery at Infowar School](#).

<http://www.infowarschool.com/galleries/religion/FLarchaeologicalProofBible.aspx>

Why does the theory of evolution even exist? It is a total contradiction to the word of God so it is logical to suspect Satan is involved. There are also humans involved in the theory of evolution going back to ancient Greece hundreds of years before Christ and of course Satan was also alive and active at that time too. But what we are talking about here is the use of the theory of evolution in our time as part of the strategy to build the N.W.O. Without atheists and communists there would not be enough opposing groups for the N.W.O. strategy to work. Evolution is the basis for atheism and communism and even racism to some extent (people calling others apes or only partly human). The theory is also used to control the world through carbon taxes and energy restrictions by changing the timeline of history and claiming oil and coal are going to run out. Oil can be produced in one hour and coal can be produced in one week and if people knew that they would understand that the timeline of the earth is very short and the N.W.O. plan would not work. If there wasn't a big push for evolutionary thought it would be much more difficult to produce and control the groups of people we see today being used against each other to divide and conquer to world.

Two things are absolutely certain. The story found in the original text bible is always the same and is proven to be true. The theory of evolution is always changing and still unproven. The more you study evolution the more you will realize this is true. Prophecy is the proof the Bible is real and that creation by God is real. The theory of evolution is nothing more than a lie.

Former Secret Society Member Tells Us Evolution Is Part of the N.W.O. Plan

Roger Morneau was a former secret society member and he was told that evolution is part of the N.W.O. plan. You can listen to his interview in [this video](#).

<https://youtu.be/gONKiy9yi5I?t=2746>

[Roger Morneau A Trip Into The Supernatural full testimony](#)

Youtube channel: Voice From The Spirit Of Prophecy

Problem 1. They Say the Universe Happened From Nothing

From the very beginning the theory of evolution requires a leap of faith. The supporters of the theory tell us that they don't even know where the beginning of the universe came from. The best they can do is guess that the universe was a very small volume or point of some kind but they don't know how it got there.

Some scientists even say that the point spontaneously appeared out of nothing. Believing that the universe appeared out of nothing for no reason that anyone can think of requires totally blind faith. And that is the basis of their theory, total blind faith. Isn't it funny how they accuse Christians of being totally blinded by faith when we have proof that prophecy is real.

[alan guth and G.P. Steinhart, scientific american, may 1984 p.12:](#)

'the observable universe could have evolved from an infinitesimal region. Its then tempting to go one step further and speculate that the entire universe evolved from literally nothing.'

["Guth's Grand Guess," Discover volume 23 \(April 2002\): 35.](#)

To the average person it might seem obvious that nothing can happen in nothing. But to a quantum physicist, nothing is, in fact, something. Brad Lemley,

["Guth's Grand Guess," Discover volume 23 \(April 2002\): 35.](#)

Start by imagining nothing, a pure vacuum. Be careful. Don't imagine outer space without matter in it. Imagine no space at all and no matter at all. Good luck. Quantum theory also holds that a vacuum, like atoms, is subject to quantum uncertainties. This means that things can materialise out of the vacuum, although they tend to vanish back into it quickly...this phenomenon has never been observed directly...

Before the universe existed there was God and also heaven and angels existed before the universe was created. The only thing Christians or anyone else can't explain is how God existed forever. Until we are reunited with God to have Him explain this we won't know because it is beyond our capacity to understand. Not understanding how God has existed forever does not disprove the Bible. The Bible is proven by prophecy because telling the future thousands of years before it happens is something that only God can do. Therefore prophecy is fool proof and something Satan can't use to trick us. And of course the prophecies have been proven true so the Bible is true. God is real and He created you. If you don't like that and you want to reject God then do so it's your choice. But at least be honest about it and just admit you don't like God. Stop supporting the lie of evolution because it only helps the N.W.O. and the N.W.O. wants to kill us all. Including the people who like the N.W.O. if you think that is unreasonable just keep reading and then you'll realize that evolution is a lie as it is proven to be false and a theory beyond salvage.

Why Does The Theory Say That The Universe Started As A Dot?

In 1924, Edwin Hubble the American astronomer, through his study of Cepheid stars in other galaxies, showed that many galaxies indeed lay great distances outside our galaxy. Moreover, by studying the spectral lines emitted by these galaxies he noted that they showed red shift and concluded that all galaxies were moving away from our own galaxy, the Milky Way. It thus appeared as if the entire universe was dilating away from an expansion center, which seemed to be the earth itself. If the universe is expanding, then it must have been smaller in the past, and so the Big Bang theory was born. Not everything produces red shift light.

Some things in space produce blue shift light and scientists say that means the thing is moving towards us. But there seems to be many more things that produce red light. Why does this mean the universe must be expanding? Does anything else produce red shift light? Yes. Spinning objects and everything in space is spinning so why should anyone assume the universe is expanding just because there is red light when there is another reason for the red light. And even if the universe is expanding it doesn't mean that it exploded from a dot and the dot was born out of nothing.

[E. Holroyd in J. Ashton \(ed\), In Six Days: Why 50 scientists choose to believe in creation \(New Holland Publishers, 1999\): 257-267.](#)

Redshift prompted Hubble to conclude that the universe is expanding. However, while it is clear that there are redshifts in the light spectra of space objects, there is room for other interpretations of that redshift. Tangential, not just radial, velocities can produce redshifts, but there is no way of measuring tangential velocities for distant galaxies.

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Edwin_Hubble#Redshift_increases_with_distance

In December 1941, Hubble reported to the American Association for the Advancement of Science that results from a six-year survey with the Mt. Wilson telescope did not support the expanding universe theory.

Problem 2: Things Are Not Evenly Distributed

The cosmological principle says that if the universe exploded outward everything should be evenly distributed. But things are concentrated in groups and clusters with huge empty voids between them.

The astrophysicists of today are not unaware of this problem. The professor of Astrophysics at Nottingham University admits what happens if a uniform universe is disproved:

[M. Wertheim, "God of the Quantum Vacuum," New Scientist 156 \(1997\): 28-31. See also New Scientist \(August 21, 1999\): 23-26.](#)

The foundations of the big bang models would crumble away. We'd be left with no explanation for the big bang, or galaxy formation, or the distribution of galaxies in the universe.

As we can see from the statements above from the scientists who study evolution, they admit the part of their theory that deals with the origins of the universe is unproven and only a theory.

Problem 3. How They Think Matter Formed

According to the theory of evolution, after the theoretical explosion (extremely rapid expansion) of the point where the universe is thought to have come from, the universe was only energy. We are told that as the universe cooled the energy started to form into matter.

But there is a problem with that because equal amounts of matter and antimatter would have been produced and they would destroy each other with only energy left over.

Experimental data shows that when particles are brought into existence from energy, something called the Baryon number is conserved. This means that when these particles are produced, they are produced in equal numbers of matter and antimatter. For every electron there is thus a positron and for every proton, there is an antiproton.

[Isaac Asimov, Asimov's New Guide to Science: 343.](#)

There is not enough antimatter in the universe. This is a big problem for the theorists. The original Big Bang would have produced equal amounts of positive matter (matter) and negative matter (antimatter). But only small amounts of antimatter exist. There should be as much antimatter as matter—if the Big Bang was true. Since matter and antimatter are equivalent in all respects but that of electromagnetic charge oppositeness, any force [the Big Bang] that would create one should have to create the other, and the universe should be made of equal quantities of each. This is a dilemma. Theory tells us there should be antimatter out there, and observation refuses to back it up..

The universe, however, has a complete dominance of matter over antimatter, or else we would not be here. Because of this problem, the Grand Unified Theories (GUT) have been proposed by physicists in attempts to solve the problem mathematically. These theories violate Baryon number conservation, and in turn predict proton decay, which has not been observed experimentally. Once again, we see how ad hoc theories have to be proposed to prop up the standard model when the data does not fit the predictions. No wonder John Maddox, editor of the journal Nature, said this:

[J. Maddox, "Down with the Big Band," Nature 340 \(August 10, 1989\).](#)

In all respects save that of convenience, this view of origin of the universe is thoroughly unsatisfactory.

It is clear that we cannot put our trust in any evidence for the Big Bang. As Andrzej Pacholczyk of the University of Arizona says, cosmology is "non-correspondence science," a science based mostly on speculation:

["God of the Quantum Vacuum,"New Scientist 156 \(1997\): 28-31.](#)

Much of contemporary cosmology deals with things like inflation and the big bang that have not been directly observed, and probably never will be. Andrej Pacholczyk, as quoted in Margaret Wertheim,

We can see from the above statements from scientist who study evolution that matter formed from cooling energy should have destroyed itself in equal parts of matter and antimatter so another theory is made that violates proven science. We're going to end up with a chain of lies. But the N.W.O. needs the theory so they press on.

Problem 4. What They Tell Us about Matter Forming Into Atoms

Step three of the theory says that after the explosion of energy cooled and formed the smallest particles of matter, those particles formed the lightest elements like hydrogen, helium, lithium, and beryllium and the universe was full of a plasma fog of these light elements. This fog filled the whole universe that was still smaller than it is today.

There are many more elements that exist today and they tell us that as this plasma fog cooled the atoms began to form stars and in the heat of the stars the other elements were formed through fusion. Then the stars exploded and spread the new matter around the universe and the process repeated with the new fog clouds forming planets out of the new heavier atoms that came out of the stars.

But the high temperatures at the beginning would prevent gas clouds and thus, stars from forming. A cloud of gas at a high temperature tends to fly apart, but the theory requires the gas to condense into stars. The gravitational forces between the gas molecules thus need to be great enough to allow for such contraction.

Calculations show that unless the temperature is less than 5K, the thermal energy of the cloud will tend to make the cloud expand and gravitational forces will be too low for contraction. Today, in the clouds where it is suggested that stars are forming, the temperatures are far too high for this to take place, but during the Big Bang they would have been even higher. It thus seems even more unlikely for them to have contracted in the past than it is today.

Lets review step three of the theory of evolution. Sub atomic elements formed clouds of atoms and the atoms condensed into stars but temperatures were too hot for them to condense into stars. As we can see the theory of evolution continues to fail.

Problem 5. How they say the first elements of life formed

In step four of the theory of evolution they tell us how they think the first elements of life were formed. This is from an article by Walter Veith from the Amazing Discoveries website that explains the details. But first here is a quote from Kenneth R. Miller Brown from his article [The Flagellum Unspun](#):

[The Collapse of "Irreducible Complexity"](#)

University Providence, Rhode Island

Kenneth R. Miller Brown

Living cells are filled, of course, with complex structures whose detailed evolutionary origins are not known. Therefore, in fashioning an argument against evolution one might pick nearly any cellular structure, the ribosome for example, and claim – correctly – that its origin has not been explained in detail by evolution.


https://amazingdiscoveries.org/C-deception_evolution_atmosphere_Oparin
the theory of evolution relies on the idea that life came from non-living material. However, there are many gaps in the logic of this idea. Nobel laureate Max Delbruck wrote this:

There has been an immense conceptual gap between all present-day life and no life...the how of the transition of the earth from no life to life is perhaps the fundamental question of biology.i

Most scientists today believe that the Biblical story of life's origins is unscientific. However, if life began from the non-living, organic molecules must have evolved from inorganic molecules to somehow form the first living cell. In this context, Creation makes more sense.

In the 1920s, the Russian biochemist Oparin and the English geneticist Haldane both suggested that there was no oxygen in the atmosphere at the time life was forming. They said that life could have come from organic molecules formed in such an atmosphere.

In 1953, Stanley Miller tested Oparin and Haldane's hypothesis. He made a simulation of the oxygen-free atmosphere (see figure 1). After passing sparks through the atmosphere, he was able to isolate nine amino acids, which are the building blocks of protein.ii



Since then, similar experiments have produced 19 of the 20 amino acids, as well as other building blocks of the living cell. These experiments are considered absolute proof that life came about by chance.

Popular belief is that these newly created organic molecules came together in the ocean, forming a kind of organic soup. But in order for these molecules to become living structures, they had to be polymerized (joined together).

Sidney Fox proposed that this could have happened around the rim of the equators where there was heat and evaporation. Fox showed that when a mixture of amino acids is heated at $200^{\circ}C$ for up to seven hours, protein-like structures that he called protenoids can form. When these protenoids are cooled, they are non-living particles that look like primitive cells.

Although some say that these protenoids grow, bud, and break apart like living cells, they really only recombine due to attractive forces and chemistry. Protenoids are not living, and they are not even made of the appropriate matter to be organic. Together, these experiments are supposed to give credibility to the theories of evolution and naturalistic origins. However, the evidence is full of holes.

The Atmosphere

Scientists agree that for the organic molecules to come together to form life, the atmosphere could not have contained any oxygen. If oxygen was present, it would react with any organic compound and turn it into carbon dioxide (CO_2) and formic acid.

According to the naturalistic view, the earth's original atmosphere must have been made of volcanic gases, since oxygen would not be produced until later, after plants evolved. However, the atmosphere Stanley Miller had in mind did not resemble volcanic gases.

Volcanic gases are rich in CO₂ and water, and also contain nitrogen, hydrogen sulfide, and sulfur dioxide. When these gases are put under Miller's experimental conditions, they make ammonia, nitric acid, or formaldehyde.^{iii,iv}

Our atmosphere today has 20% oxygen. This oxygen was supposedly built up after photosynthetic organisms evolved. However, without oxygen there would have been no ozone to protect the earth from the sun's dangerous ultraviolet (UV) rays. Life could not have evolved in these hostile conditions. The UV rays would have killed any molecule before it could form into even the simplest life form on Earth.

Along the same lines, amino acids would need an atmosphere rich in ammonia. However, UV rays destroy ammonia. If the atmosphere was oxygen-free, then it would also have been ozone free, meaning that there would have been extremely high levels of UV.

The evolutionary theory suggests that living molecules came together in the ocean. However, if there was an ocean, there was water. Water contains oxygen. This oxygen would have been released into the atmosphere when UV rays hit the water. And if there was oxygen in the atmosphere, living molecules could not form.

Water is necessary for life, but life could not be formed with water in the atmosphere, according to the naturalistic view. This circular problem shows that life could not have come from non-living compounds.

i. Max Delbruck, Mind From Matter? (Palto Alto: Blackwell Scientific Publications, 1986) 31.

ii. Stanley Miller, "A production of amino acids under possible primitive earth conditions," Science 117 (1953): 528-529.

iii. D. Black and J Pollack, "Implications of the gas compositional measures of pioneer venue for the origin of planetary atmospheres," Science 205 (1979): 56-59.

iv. G. Gladstone, J. Pinto, and Y. Yung, "Photochemical production of formaldehyde in Earth's primitive atmosphere," Science 210 (1980): 183-185.

It is actually quite sad to see how scientists have to suffer with this chain of lies that is already quite long and we are only at the part where the N.W.O. lies about how life was formed. Remember this is a lie created by the N.W.O. as part of their strategy to take over the world. Most people who accept it only do so because they have never seen the real truth of the Bible or because they are forced to so they can keep their job.

Problem 6: Their Theory about the Evolution of Living Organisms Dinosaur and Human Footprints Together

This is the step where scientists tell us that life evolved gradually from the tiny bits of living matter that could not form as seen above in step 4. It is a big step that spans millions of years like every other step. They think that life evolved in stages step by step and that the steps could not happen out of order. They say that these steps are represented in the layers of earth and the top layers are the last steps of life evolving and the lowest layer is the first step of life evolving. You should not find fossils from the top layers in the lower layers because those life forms did not exist yet according to the theory. We do find fossils from the higher layers in the lower layers and of course scientists argue that

they had to be mixed in there by something because they could never be part of that layer since they haven't evolved yet. What they are really doing is ignoring evidence that proves evolution false. So how about something that is a fossil from a human found in a dinosaur layer that could not possibly be mixed in by accident or on purpose?

There are several examples of human footprints (and even bones) found in the dinosaur layers. There is one case of someone making fake human footprints in a layer to sell tickets and make money but we do not have to rely on lies like evolution does. These cases are real. Footprints are very good evidence because they can't fall down a crack into a lower layer. If they are found under undisturbed layers of earth it means they can't be carved by someone. Even footprints that are found uncovered can be proven real by looking at the composition of the materials cross section.

This picture is of human footprints that have been found in the dinosaur layer with dinosaur footprints.




This layer contains the longest trail of dinosaur footprints on the North American continent and they are very clear and detailed so it is definitely a dinosaur layer. In fact there are many other dinosaur footprints on this layer too. Not only are there human footprints but there is a large cat footprint and cats are not supposed to have evolved yet so there is even more proof that evolution is false because we all lived on earth at the same time. But the most amazing part is that the footprints of the humans and dinosaurs overlap because the humans stepped into the dinosaur tracks and the dinosaur stepped into the human track. You can watch the [documentary about these tracks here](#).

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=dZULdLr1vdw>

[Dinosaurs and Humans Coexisted: The Record of the Rocks by Dr Don Patton The Record of the Rocks](#)

Youtube channel Michael Thomas



Dinosaur Sculptures from Ancient People before Fossils Discovered

Here is more proof that dinosaurs and man lived together. We are told that dinosaurs were discovered about 200 years ago. But civilizations from several hundreds and even more than a thousand years ago have carvings and sculptures of dinosaurs that are more accurate than what we have discovered in the last 200 years. If they didn't dig up any fossils how did they know so much about dinosaurs? It's easy, they saw them because they lived at the same time as them.





Chalk Layer Proves Noah's Flood

There is a silver bullet that kills the theory of evolution and it's the chalk layer. This layer of chalk is found on every continent on earth and is on the same base layer of rock so it proves that the earth was under water at the same time. What this means is that the evolutionary process had to stop, although it never started in the first place. There are layers of mammals under the chalk layer and that means that all the mammals had to be wiped out and evolution had to restart to give us the mammals that we have today if the theory of evolution is true. But we know from evidence that the earth was flooded and the mammals and birds and humans were repopulated from what was saved on Noah's ark. The ark has even been found and proven to be the actual ark spoken of in the Bible and built by Noah. You can watch the [documentary about Noah's arc here](#).

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=96D8KTP2Qz8>

[2012 Noah's Ark Has Been Found! It's Not Just Some Fairy Tale!!](#)

Youtube channel: Bigor Shpella